

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,203	12/22/2003	Brian E. Gorrell	3030-73043	6873
23643	7590 05/25/2005		EXAMINER	
BARNES &	t THORNBURG		LUEBKE,	RENEE S
	OLIS, IN 46204		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2833	
			DATE MAIL ED. 05/25/2006	

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				_\M				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/743,203	GORRELL & SEITZ					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Renee S. Luebke	2833					
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely. It the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ap	pril 2005.						
	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	,—							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims		·					
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	es have been received. Es have been received in Application rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachmen			(77.0 14.0)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🔲 Infor	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application Number: 10/743,203 Page 2

Art Unit: 2833

1. The disclosure is objected to because the "Disclosure of the Invention" should be a *Brief Summary of the Invention* and should not reiterate the claims. Contrary to applicant's comments, repetition of the claims is not brief, neither is it "of assistance in aiding ready understanding of the patent" since the reader can simply read the claims themselves. Appropriate correction is required.

- 2. It is noted that on line 3 of claim 8, "device" should be plural.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5-7 and 12 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Luzzi. This device 300 comprises a plurality of output ports (at the right side of Fig. 5), an input port, a first portion 26, and a second portion 300. The couplers comprise high voltage contacts since the device is intended as a high voltage connector (column 1, lines 17-21). The couplers are threaded 296. In regard to claim 7, the thread is seen to be a labyrinthine portion.

Applicant points out the intended function of Luzzi, but fails to show any claimed structure that is not present in the device. In response to applicant's suggestion that Luzzi does not disclose a device "for distributing high magnitude electrical potential" as in claimed 1 or the use of the particular ports in claim 12, it is noted that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior

Application Number: 10/743,203 Page 3

Art Unit: 2833

art. See In re Casey, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 312 F.2d 937, 939, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

- 5. Claim 4 remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luzzi as previously discussed, and not separately argued by applicant.
- 6. Claims 8-11 and 13-15 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luzzi in view of the prior art shown by applicant, as previously discussed and not separately argued by applicant.
- 7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. It is suggested that responses to this final action be faxed to:
(703) 872-9306

Please refrain from sending a confirmation copy, as noted in 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(b).

Alternatively, responses may be mailed to:
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see

Application Number: 10/743,203 Page 4

Art Unit: 2833

http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mrs. Renee Luebke at (571) 272-2009. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Paula Bradley, can be reached at (571) 272-2800, extension 33.

Kenee S. Luebke

Primary Patent Examiner

May 23, 2005